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> Abstract.-Bryophyllin B [1], a potent cytotoxic bufadienolide, has been isolated from Bryophyllum pinnatum and its structure confirmed by the use of 2D-nmr techniques and difference nOe method. Transformation of bryotoxin $\mathrm{C}[2]$ to 1 with acid is also discussed.

We reported previously on the isolation of bryotoxin C (bryophyllin A) [2] (1), a bufadienolide 1,3,5-orthoacetate with potent cytotoxicity, and bersaldegenin-3-acetate $[3](3,4)$ from the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ extract of Bryophyllum pinnatum (Crassulaceae). Bryoroxin C was first isolated by Capon et al. (2) from Bryophyllum tubiflorum without reporting any biological activity. Further investigation of a cytotoxic $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extract of this same plant has led to the isolation of bryophyllin $\mathrm{B}[1]$, which showed potent cytotoxity with $E D_{\text {so }}$ $<80 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ against the in vitro growth of KB tissue culture cells (Table 1). We report herein the isolation and structural elucidation of bryophyllin $\mathrm{B}[1]$. The transformation of 2 to $\mathbf{1}$ with acid is also discussed.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extract of the whole plant of B. pinnatum was concentrated and partitioned between $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Guided by the assay in KB cells as shown in Scheme 1, the active principles were concentrated in the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( Fractions A and B ) and the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extracts. Bryotoxin C (bryophyllin A) [2] and bersaldegenin-3-acetate [3] were isolated from the $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ extract. The $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-soluble part was extracted with $n$ - BuOH , which in turn was chromatographed on Sephadex LH-20 Si gel and reversed-phase


[^0]Table 1. Cytotoxicity of Compounds 1, 2, and 3 Against Various Tumor Cells.

| Compound | KB <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{A}-549$ <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{HCT}-8$ <br> $(\mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{P}-388$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ | $\mathrm{L}-1210$ <br> $(\mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bryophyllin B [1] . . . . . . | $<80$ | - | - | - | - |
| Bryotoxin C [2] ........ | 14 | 10 | 30 | $>4$ | $>4$ |
| Bersaldegenin-3-acetate [3].. | $<40$ | 40 | 10 | $>4$ | $>4$ |

hplc, successively, to afford the active principle, bryophyllin B [1], in $0.000043 \%$ yield.

Bryophyllin B [1] was obtained as a colorless amorphous powder and analyzed for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{9}$. Its uv and ir spectra indicated the presence of a dienone system ( $\lambda \max 298$


SCheme 1. Extraction and Isolation of Bryophyllin B \{1].
nm ) as well as hydroxyl ( $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and carbonyl ( $1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) groups as seen in 2. The fabms of 1 showed the appearance of an $[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$peak at $m / z 490$ and fragment ion peaks at $m / z 472\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$and $432[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HOAc}]^{+}$.

Detailed analysis of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectra (Table 2) of $\mathbf{1}$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY (Correlation Spectroscopy) (Figure 1) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{COSY}$ (Figure 2) suggested the presence of a methyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.84$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 20.57$ ), a secondary acetoxyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 2.07$ and $4.69 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $171.00,21.20$, and 73.38 ), a $\gamma$-substituted $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$-unsaturated- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-lactone ( $\alpha$ pyrone) ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.45,7.87$, and $6.92 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 149.32,147.32,115.41,122.66$, and 162.07), a secondary hydroxyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 3.79$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 65.01$ ), two tertiary hydroxyl ( $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 76.08$ and 85.60 ), and lactol ( $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.75,3.99$, and $1.30 ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 104.66,79.99$, and 49.53 ) groups. Treatment of 1 with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in pyridine gave diacetate 4 , which was transformed to monoacetate 5 after standing in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ for two days. These foregoing data and experiment indicate that $\mathbf{1}$ may be a compound closely related to $\mathbf{2}$ except that the orthoacetate and acetal groups differ from each other.

Each carbon signal, except the quarternary one, was assigned based on the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectral data (Figure 2). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY spectrum of 1 , six mutual relations from $\mathrm{H}-1, \mathrm{H}-3$ (twice), $\mathrm{H}-8, \mathrm{H}-11$, and $\mathrm{H}-17$ to $2-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 7-\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 12-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$, and $16-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ methylene protons due to an ABX system were elucidated as shown in Figure 3. The configuration of each X-type proton of this ABX system is in an axial orientation, which has a large coupling constant (Table 1). Furthermore, the relationships be-

Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ Chemical Shifts of Compound 1. ${ }^{2}$

| Position | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $4.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.03,12.45 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 73.38 (d) |
| 2 | 2.11 (1H, ddd $, J=4.03,4.64,12.45 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 39.51 (t) |
|  | $1.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=12.45 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |  |
| 3 | $3.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 65.01 (d) |
| 4 | 1.96-1.98 and 1.88 (each 1H, obscured signal) | 47.79 (t) |
| 5 |  | 76.08 (s) |
| 6 | 1.98 and 1.58 (each 1 H , obscured signal) | 32.11 (t) |
| 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \text { dddd, } J=3.78,9.52,12.94,13.31 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & 1.96-1.98(1 \mathrm{H} \text {, obscured signal }) \end{aligned}$ | 20.78 (t) |
| 8 | 2.42 ( 1 H, ddd, $J=2.93,11.35,13.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) | 39.97 (d) |
| 9 | $1.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.35 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 49.53 (d) |
| 10 |  | 54.37 (s) |
| 11 | $3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=3.30,11.35,11.85 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 79.99 (d) |
| 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.46(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=11.85 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ & 2.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=3.30,11.85 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 48.22 (t) |
| 13 |  | 52.63 (s) |
| 14 |  | 85.60 (s) |
| 15 | 1.96-1.98 and 1.56 (each 1H, obscured signal) | 34.92 (t) |
| 16 | 2.21 and 1.83 (each 1H, m) | 31.10 (t) |
| 17 | $2.71(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.57,8.79 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 51.23 (d) |
| 18 | 0.84 ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ) | 20.57 (q) |
| 19 | 5.75 (1H,s) | 104.66 (d) |
| 20 |  | 122.66 (d) |
| 21 | 7.45 (1H, m) | 149.32 (d) |
| 22 | $7.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.57,9.77 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 147.32 (d) |
| 23 | $6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=0.85,9.77 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | 115.41 (d) |
| 24 |  | 162.07 (s) |
| - COM Me | 2.07 (3H, s) | 21.20 (q) |
| -COMe |  | 171.00 (s) |

[^1]

Figure 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY Spectrum of Bryophyllin B [1].
tween H-8 and H-9 and between $\mathrm{H}-9$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ were also trans diaxial ( $J_{8,9}$ and $J_{9,11}=11.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) to each other.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{-1} \mathrm{H}$ long range $\operatorname{COSY}(5)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ was measured in order to confirm the partial structure discussed below. As shown in Figure 3, the proton signal at $\delta 0.84$ (Me-18) is correlated with the carbons at $\delta 48.22$ (C-12), 52.63 (C-13), 85.60 (C-14), and 51.23 (C-17), and the signal at $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{H}-9)$ is correlated with the carbons at $\delta 76.08$ (C-5) and $54.37(\mathrm{C}-10)$. Also, the proton signals at $\delta 7.45(\mathrm{H}-21), 7.87(\mathrm{H}-22)$, and 6.29 (H-23) are correlated with the carbons at $\delta 122.66(\mathrm{C}-20), 147.32(\mathrm{C}-22)$ and 162.07 (C-24), and $\delta 162.07(\mathrm{C}-24)$ and $\delta 122.66(\mathrm{C}-20)$, respectively. Furthermore, the proton signal at $\delta 4.69(\mathrm{H}-1)$ is correlated with the carbon at $\delta 104.66(\mathrm{C}-19)$, suggesting that the position of the acetoxyl group is at $\mathrm{C}-1$. Some of the other significant longrange correlations observed are shown by arrows in Figure 3.

The relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1}$ and connectivity between $\mathrm{C}-17$ and $\mathrm{C}-20$ were determined on the basis of coupling constants of each proton and the results of difference nOe experiments (Figure 4). Irradiation at the frequency of the Me-18 proton signal ( $\delta$ 0.84 ) enhanced the signal intensity of two olefins ( $\mathrm{H}-21$ and $\mathrm{H}-22$ ), one of the methylene ( $\mathrm{H}-12$ ), and two methine protons ( $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-11$ ). This suggests that the

Figure 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY Spectrum of Bryophyllin B [1].

Figure 3. Long-range ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY of Bryophyllin $\mathrm{B}\{1\}(J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.



[^2]Figure 4. Difference nOe of Bryophyllin B [1]

Me-18, 8-, and 11-protons have a 1,3-diaxial relationship to each other and that the $\mathrm{Me}-18$ and $\alpha$-pyrone ring are in a cis orientation. Irradiation at the frequency of $\mathrm{H}-3$ ( $\delta$ 3.79 ) and $\mathrm{H}-19$ ( $\delta 5.75$ ) enhanced the signal intensity of $\mathrm{H}-1$ ( $\delta 4.69$ ), $\mathrm{H}-6$ ( $\delta 1.98$ ), and the methylene proton ( $\mathrm{H}-2 \beta, \delta 1.54$ ).

Based on the above evidence and a biogenetic point of view regarding the co-occurrence of bryophyllin $B$ with 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ from the same plant, the structure of bryophyllin $B$ was determined to be 1.

Added confirmation of the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved by the transformation of $\mathbf{2}$ to the $1 \beta$-acetate [1]. Compound 2 was treated with 10 -camphor sulfonic acid in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for 2 days at room temperature to afford a 2:1 mixture of 1 and 2. This type of equilibrium was observed by Kupchan et al. (6) previously in their treatment of either bersaldegenin 1-acetate [6] or bersaldegenin 1,3,5-orthoacetate [7] with $80 \% \mathrm{HOAc}$ at $90^{\circ}$ to yield a $1: 1$ equilibrium mixture of 6 and 7 .

The formation of $\mathbf{1}$ from 2 under acidic conditions as described above may be controlled by stereoelectronic effects (7) as explained in Scheme 2.
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SCheme 2. Transformation of 2 to 1.

## EXPERIMENTAL

General experimental procedures.-All melting points were taken on a Fisher-Johns melt-ing-point apparatus and are uncorrected. Ir spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1320 spectrophotometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ - and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{nmr}$ spectra were measured on JEOL GX- 400 and Varian XL- 400 spectrometers with TMS as an internal standard. Mass spectra were taken on a Shimazu DF 2000 spectrometer by the fab method. Si gel (Kieselgel 60, 230-400 mesh, Merck) was used for cc, and pre-coated Si gel plates (Kieselgel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$, Merck) were used for analytical tlc. Detection of bufadienolides was made by spraying with $10 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ solution containing $1 \% \mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ followed by heating. Hplc was carried out on a Waters Associates Model ALC/GPC 244 liquid chromatograph with a 450 variable wavelength detector. The column used in this system was Nucleosil 7 $\mathrm{C}_{18}$ (Macherey-Nagel) $10 \times 250$ mm . MeOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HOAc}$ ( $60: 40: 0.2$ ) and $\mathrm{MeCN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HOAc}$ (40:60:0.2) were used as the mobile phase, and the flow rate was $2-4 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$.

Plant material.-B. pinnatum (8) was collected in the spring of 1987 in Taipei, Taiwan. A voucher specimen of this plant is kept at the Institute of Botany, Academia Sinica, Taiwan.

Extraction and isolation of bryophyllin B.-As shown in Scheme 1 , the whole fresh plant of B. pinnatum ( 10 kg ), was homogenized with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 2 liters). This $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ extract was filtered and concentrated in vacuo to 1.5 liters. After having been shaken with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, it was extracted with $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ ( 500
$\mathrm{ml} \times 3$ ). The $n-\mathrm{BuOH}$ extract ( 2.7 g ) was subjected to cc on Sephadex $\mathrm{LH}-20(4 \times 38 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and eluted with a gradient of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$, and MeOH to give 16 fractions. Fractions 5, 6, 14 , and 15 were found to show significant cytotoxity in KB cells. Fraction $5(119.6 \mathrm{mg})$ was further chromatographed on Si gel ( $2 \times 18 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) and eluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $10: 1$, each fraction 30 ml ) to afford 10 fractions. Purification of the active fraction $5(6.6 \mathrm{mg})$ by reversed-phase hplc furnished bryophyllin $B[1](4.3 \mathrm{mg})$.

Bryophyllin $\mathrm{B}[1]$.-Bryophyllin B was isolated as a colorless amorphous powder: $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{9}$; mp $178-180^{\circ},[\alpha]^{20} \mathrm{D}+20^{\circ}\left(\kappa=0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; uv $\lambda \max (\mathrm{MeOH}) 298(\epsilon 5800) \mathrm{nm}$; ir $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) 3400(\mathrm{OH})$, $1695(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 1120(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; fabms $m / z[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 490,\left[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+} 472,[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{HOAc}]^{+} 432$.

ACETYLATION OF BRYOPHYLLIN B [1]. -A solution of $1(2.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in a mixture of pyridine ( 0.2 ml ) and $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.1 \mathrm{ml})$ was allowed to stand at room temperature overnight, then diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and extracted with ErOAc. The ErOAc extract was washed with saturated NaCl solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and evaporated. The residue was purified by preparative tic to give a diacetate $\mathbf{4}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{\delta} 7.67$ ( 1 H , dd, $J=2.57,9.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-22$ ), 7.23 ( 1 H , br s, H-21), 6.74 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-19 \alpha$ ), 6.29 ( 1 H , dd, $J=0.73,9.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-23), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.22,12.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \alpha), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3.30,11.17,11.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-11 \beta), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.14,8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-17 \alpha), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}$, $J=11.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8 \beta), 2.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 19 \beta-\mathrm{OAc}), 2.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \beta-\mathrm{OAc}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1 \beta-\mathrm{OAc}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ).

When compound 4 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and allowed to stand for two days, it yielded a monoacetate 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{nmr}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=2.57,9.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-22), 7.23(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, \mathrm{H}-21), 6.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=0.73,9.71 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-23), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{H}-19 \alpha), 4.89(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}-3 \alpha), 4.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.22,12.46$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{H}-1 \alpha), 4.05(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=3.30,11.17,11.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-11 \beta), 2.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.14$ and 8.80 Hz , $\mathrm{H}-17 \alpha), 2.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{t}, J=11.73 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}-8 \beta), 2.09(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 3 \beta-\mathrm{OAc}), 2.03(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1 \beta-\mathrm{OAc}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}-18$ ).

Transformation of bryotoxin C [2] TO BRyophyilin B [1].-A solution of 2 ( 1.0 mg ) in a mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1 drop) was treated with a catalytic amount of ( $\pm$ )-10-camphor sulfonic acid for 2 days at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3.0 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ extract was washed with saturated NaCl and evaporated to yield a residue. This residue showed two peaks in a ratio of $1: 2$, corresponding to $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 , respectively, on reversedphase hple [ $7 \mathrm{C}_{18}, 10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$, MeOH- $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{HOAc}(50: 50: 0.1), 3 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 298 \mathrm{~nm}\right]$.

CyTOTOXICITY ASSAY.-The in vitro KB cytotoxicity assay was carried out according to procedures described in Geran et al. (9) and Ferguson et al. (10). The assay against A-549, HCT-8, P-388, and L-1210 tumor cells was based on a method reported in Lee et al. (11).
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